Introduction:

LEA: Ocean Grove Charter School Contact (Name, Title, Email, Phone Number): Cynthia Rachel, Director of Education Support Services, crachel@ieminc.org, (800) 979-4436

LCAP Year: 2016-2017

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

State Priorities

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

A. Conditions of Learning:

Basic: degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

Implementation of State Standards: implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

Course access: pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only): coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

Foster youth (for county offices of education only): coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

B. Pupil Outcomes:

Pupil achievement: performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

Other pupil outcomes: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

C. Engagement:

Parental involvement: efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each schoolsite, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

Pupil engagement: school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

School climate: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

Instructions: Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

Involvement Process	Impact on LCAP
4/7/16 Stakeholder survey: Which programs will best support our students in 2016-2017? 5/12/2016 LCAP Stakeholder Advisory Meeting 5/31/16 LCAP Stakeholder Advisory Meeting 6/9/16 LCAP Stakeholder Advisory Meeting	Based on discussions of the annual update, the stakeholders came to the consensus that our goals for 2015-2016 were still very relevant and should continue in a similar fashion for 2016-2017. We will focus on: 1. Greater Career and Technical Education access 2. Writing Initiative 3. Increase teacher led opportunities - ESi instruction 4. Individual assistance in form of online and in person tutoring 5. Continuing to develop the PLTW program
Annual Update:	Annual Update:
9/9/15 Governing Board Meeting 2/1/2016 LCAP Stakeholder Update 2/3/2016 Parent Council Meeting 3/3/2016 Governing Board Meeting 5/12/2016 LCAP Stakeholder Advisory Meeting 5/27/16 Governing Board Meeting 5/31/16 LCAP Stakeholder Advisory Meeting 6/9/16 LCAP Stakeholder Advisory Meeting Annual Update was shared with the above groups and topics/areas of focus for future LCAPs were discussed.	Based on meetings and surveys with Stakeholders (parents, students, teacher, and administrators), the following recommendations were made: 1. Provide instructional and curricular support to a-g courses and labs 2. Increase Career and Technical Education offerings 3. Continue to offer online and in person tutoring options for students 4. Continue to offer intervention curriculum and services 5. Offer small group classes at a site or online

Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators

Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal: Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the schoolsite level. The LEA may identify which schoolsites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or schoolsite.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

Schools: Identify the schoolsites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the schoolsite level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Action/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

Scope of Service: Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the schoolsites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide.

Pupils to be served within identified scope of service: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 52052.

Budgeted Expenditures: For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual schoolsites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual schoolsite goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual schoolsites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific schoolsites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

					Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 X 2 X 3 X 4 X 5 X 6 X 7 X 8 X	
GOAL 1:					COE only: 9 _ 10 _	
					Local : Specify	
Identified Need:	While some Career &Technical Educa needed. Stakeholder survey showed t careers. 41% of Ocean Grove high sch	that a majorit	ty of the families wanted thei	r students to be prepared		
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups:					
			LCAP Year 1: 2016-17			
Measurable Outcomes:						
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service		Budgeted Expenditures	
Provide Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) teacher training School wide Sch						
Support Project Le	ead the Way program instruction	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent	\$50,000		

Page 9 of 36

			Page 9 of 36
		English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
Purchase Project Lead the Way materials & provide PLTW teacher certification training	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$15,000
Hire a Project Lead the Way/Career & Technical Education Coordinator	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$32,000
Purchase online Brighton College CTE courses	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$10,000
Support CTE class fees with school districts	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$10,000

Page 10 of 36

			Page 10 01 30
Provide ESi courses for High School core subjects and CTE subjects	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$10,000
Hire a Guidance Coordinator	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$80,000
Provide weekly Webwise a-g online classes for students enrolled in a-g courses	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$20,000
Purchase lab materials for a-g labs	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$5,000
Provide instructional support for a-g labs	School	<u>X</u> All	\$3,000

Page 11 of 36

	wide	OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)
Fund half of the instructional costs for the high school PLTW courses at SCLC	School wide	X All \$10,000 OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Increase the achievement of low performing students in English Language Arts and Math. GOAL 2:					Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 X 2 X 3 X 4 X 5 X 6 X 7 X 8 X COE only: 9 _ 10 _ Local : Specify
					ьосаг: Бресіту
Identified Need:	70% of Ocean Grove students tested math on the i-Ready.	at or above g	grade level in ELA on the i-Re	eady assessment and 58%	tested at or above grade level in
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups:				
			LCAP Year 1: 2016-17		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: 2A) Increase the percentage of students scoring at or above grade level (as measured by i-Ready) by 2% over previous year above grade level (as measured by CAASPP) by 2% over previous year 2C) Increase the high school graduation rate by 2% over the previous year					
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service		Budgeted Expenditures
Track CAASPP data School wide School Wide					
Purchase i-Ready	intervention curriculum	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$60,000	

Page 13 of 36

	1		1 age 13 01 30
Provide i-Ready webinars for teachers and parents	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$3,000
Purchase online intervention curriculum	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	. \$12,000
Purchase print intervention curriculum	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$10,000
Provide online, in person or group intervention tutoring for students scoring 2 grade levels below as measured by i-Ready	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$50,000
Hire High School Online Curriculum Expert to be a resource to teachers, student and parents	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils	_ \$5,000

Page 14 of 36

			Page 14 01 36
		_ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
Hire Intervention Curriculum Experts to be a resource to teachers, student and parents	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$16,000
Provide ESi tutoring for intervention students	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$10,000
Provide ESi small group tutoring for special education students	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$10,000
Purchase Writing Initiative materials (stipends and materials)	School wide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent	\$10,000

Page 15 of 36

		English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)
Provide Grade 6-8 New California State Math Standards Resources	School wide	X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

GOAL 3: Identified Need: Goal Applies to:	EL students have not achieved annual Schools: All Applicable Pupil		Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 X 2 X 3 4 X 5 X 6 7 X 8 X COE only: 9 10 Local: Specify ears.			
	Subgroups:		LCAP Year 1: 2016-17			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Expected Annual 3A) Increase the percentage of EL students making progress on the CELDT (AMAO 1) by 2% over prior year and/or increase more than one Measurable grade level as measured by i-Ready post assessment.					
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service		Budgeted Expenditures	
Provide computer students for acces intervention service		School wide	All OR:Low Income pupils X English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	\$15,000		
Provide ESi supp	plemental online tutoring for EL students	School wide	All OR:Low Income pupils X English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	\$3,000		
Provide online or vendors.	in person tutoring for EL students with	School wide	_ All ÖR:	\$19,000		

Page 17 of 36

	1		1 ago 11 of oc
		_ Low Income pupils X English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
Purchase EL online curriculum	School wide	All OR:Low Income pupils X English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	\$4,000
Hire EL Instructor	School wide	All OR:Low Income pupils X English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	\$16,000

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Annual Update

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific schoolsites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Original In GOAL 1 from prior year LCAP:	crease the percentage of students who are on-track to graduate colle	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 X 2 X 3 X 4 X 5 X 6 X 7 X 8 X COE only: 9 _ 10 _ Local : Specify		
Goal Applies	to: Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups:			
	1A) Establish math and ELA proficiency baselines as measured by the CAASPP. 1B) Increase percentage of students graduating from high school with UC (A-G) transcript. Beginning with the Class of 2018, 52% will be working toward completing either the UC/CSU/Community College course pathway or a CTE pathway. 1C) Increase the number of CTE courses available to students. 1D) Increase training programs, classes, webinars, and/or sessions for ESs and Parent Educators to minimum of 3 per year. 1E) Increase the attendance / participation rates for Parent Educator Trainings to a minimum of 10% of parent population and 100% ES participation in professional development opportunities.	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	individual student level und establish proficiency baseli data. 1B) 41% of high school studie requirements 1C) 12 new CTE courses will learning. Additionally, a sm students participate in ROF county office of education 1D) In the 2015-2016 school offered to parents. These in to use Lexia Core 5 and modification included topics such as Watechnology and preparing for 1E) In the 2015-2016 school the trainings was approximattendance was limited to 5 number of possible attended 80 participants. These web parent webinar channel who completed two hours of probased on their interests and	st year of testing results at the ler CAASPP. We have been able to nes for both ELA and Math with this dents were working towards a-g vere added through eDynamic hall percentage of high schools of courses offered through the local of year, 19 parent training events were included specific trainings such as how one general webinars. Webinars aldorf education, homeschooling with for High School. Of year, the average attendance for all ately 44 parents. Some of the training to registrants, greatly limiting the less. Our monthly webinars averaged in in a had over 500 views. All ESs if essional development per month of personalized SMART goals. In a day training in August and a two day

Page 20 of 36

	LCAP Year: 2015-16			
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services		
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
Track CAASPP data	\$0.00	2015-16 marked the first year of testing results at the individual student level under CAASPP. We have been able to establish proficiency baselines for both ELA and Math with this data.	\$0.00	
Scope of School wide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)		Scope of School Wide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)		
Establish Project Lead the Way for High School Students and purchase applicable materials.	\$50,000	Establishing a PLTW program at any school is expensive because of the high tech equipment required and the professional development trainings for the teachers. For our initial year of PLTW, we purchased materials for grades K-8. These materials include laptops, iPads, robotics kits, 3-D printer, Laser Cutter, etc. Additionally, we sent teachers to multi-day professional development workshops so that they could learn how to teach these project based learning activities. These workshops were 3 to 5 days in length. Lastly, we use LCAP funds to purchase all of the PLTW materials for our mobile science lab.	\$34,353	
Scope of School wide Service X All OR:		Scope of School Wide X All OR:		

Page 21 of 36

			1 age 21 01 30
 Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) 		_ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
Purchase Edynamic Learning courses (a-g)	\$5,000	We purchased eDynamic Learning courses for our students. Since we do not have a site to offer in-person CTE courses, we have utilized online vendors to offer a variety of career related courses for our high school program. eDynamic Learning is on online CTE curriculum that has a-g approved CTE courses.	\$2,440
Scope of School wide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)		Scope of School wide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
Provide Webwise a-g Tutoring for students taking a-g courses	\$60,000	We provided tutoring for a-g students to meet the one hour per week requirement for a-g courses and support student achievement.	\$74,134
Scope of School wide X All		Scope of School wide X All	
OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient		OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	

Page 22 of 36

			1 age 22 01 30
_ Other Subgroups: (Specify)			
Provide Contract Program courses for ESs to teach in Core subjects	\$20,000	We piloted our Education Specialist Instruction (ESi) program, which included a-g supplemental instruction (weekly one hour interaction with an SME instructor) in the following subjects: Earth Science, World Studies, US History, American Literature, British Literature, Integrated Math, Trigonometry/Pre-Calculus, Japanese and Drawing. All were taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in each subject area. We also provided roughly a dozen individual and small group ESi tutoring sessions in Math and English Language Arts. Most of our ESi courses took place online, using Schoology (a learning management system), Big Blue Button (internet teaching tool), document cameras, and virtual writing tablets.	\$32,715
Scope of Service X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)		Scope of School wide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
Provide VPSS classes for teachers to become Highly Qualified in Core subjects	\$25,000	We provided VPSS classes to teachers to increase the number of highly qualified teachers for high school classes.	\$9,597
Scope of School wide Service		Scope of School wide Service	

Page 23 of 36

			1 ago 20 01 00
X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)		X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
Purchase lab materials for a-g labs	\$5,000	In an effort to make a-g labs more affordable to our families, we used funds to purchase a-g science lab materials for our students with limited instructional funds.	\$8,856
Scope of School wide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)		Scope of School wide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
Provide instructional support for a-g labs	\$10,000	We fully rely on our vendors to provide a-g lab opportunities to our students since the UCOP requires that all a-g science labs be done outside the home in independent study schools. Often, only one or two students may be in a given a-g science lab. In order to make that low enrollment a-g lab course affordable to the student, we provided additional financial support through this action step.	\$914.00
Scope of School wide Service X All OR:		Scope of School wide Service X All OR:	

Page 24 of 36

_ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)		_ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
services, and expenditures will be Primade as a result of reviewing past income.	Te recognized the increased need for staffing roject Lead the Way, and our CTE programment year we will focus on maintaining the main partnerships with businesses through the maintaining the	n. With the bulk of the PLTW materials ex nobile science lab, training our teachers or	penses completed during the 2016 year,

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Original GOAL 2 from prior year LCAP:				Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 X 2 X 3 X 4 X 5 X 6 7 X 8 X COE only: 9 10 Local: Specify
Goal Applies to: Schools: All				;
Applicable Pupil Subgroups:				
Annual grade level (as measured by iReady) by 2% over previous Measurable year Outcomes: 2B) Increase 10th Grade CaHSEE Pass Rate in ELA and Math by 2% over previous year 2C) Increase 11th and 12th grade CAHSEE Pass Rate in ELA and Math by 2% over previous year. Annual grade level in 2015-2016, or above grade level in 2014-20 outcomes: students tested at or above to only 51% testing at or above grade level in 2015-2016, or above grade level in 2014-20 outcomes: students tested at or above grade level in 2014-20 outcomes: or above grade level in 2014-20 outcomes: students tested at or above grade level in 2014-20 outcomes: or above grade			ome was no longer applicable due to	
	LCAP Ye	ar: 2015-16		
Planned Action			Actual Actions	
	Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
Purchase iReady Intervention Curriculum			vention curriculum was r students needing port.	\$58,999
Scope of School wide Service		Scope of Service	School wide	
X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)			arners	

Page 26 of 36

				1 age 20 01 30
Purchase of curriculum	online intervention	\$20,000	Many different online Intervention curriculum programs were purchased for ELA and Math. Curriculum available to students and teachers included: Reading Eggs, Explode the Code, Lexia, Read Live, Reading Eggspress, Reading Plus, IXL, Ten Marks, Fastt Math, ALEKS intervention and Sum Dog.	\$12,018
_ English L _ Foster Yo _ Redesign proficient			Scope of School wide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
Purchase p	print Intervention curriculum	\$24,000	Many different print Intervention curriculum programs were purchased for ELA and Math. Curriculum available to students and teachers included: Ready Common Core, Explode the Code, CARS/STARS, Phonics for Reading, Focus on Reading, Zoom In, Evan Moor Daily Practice, Collections (Houghton Mifflin), Focus on Math, Key To and CAMS/STAMS/SOLVE.	\$48,528
_ English L _ Foster Ye			Scope of School wide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	

Page 27 of 36

			Page 27 01 36
_ Other Subgroups: (Specify)			
Provide online, group, or in person tutoring for students scoring 2 grade levels below as measured by i-Ready.	\$32,000	Low performing students (2 levels below in ELA or Math) were offered one on one or small group tutoring at their level to increase their math and ELA proficiency levels.	\$27,135
Scope of School wide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)		Scope of School wide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
Hire a High School Curriculum Expert to be a resource to teachers and students.	\$5,000	A High School Curriculum Expert was hired to be a resource to teachers, student and parents.	\$4,500
Scope of School wide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)		Scope of School wide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
Hire Intervention Curriculum Experts	\$16,000	Four intervention curriculum experts (online math expert, print math expert, online ELA expert, print ELA expert) were hired to be a resource to teachers, student and parents.	\$16,000
Scope of School wide Service		Scope of School wide Service	

Page 28 of 36

X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)		X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
services, and expenditures will be inst made as a result of reviewing past LCA	truction through vendors and ESs. Additi AP projects, such as the Writing Initiative	, we learned from stakeholders that they a onally, we recognized the increased need and the Intervention Program. Lastly, we curriculum and online resources for learning	for staffing to support our large-scale have learned that we need to budget

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Original GOAL 3 from prior year LCAP:	om prior year			Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 X 2 X 3 _ 4 X 5 X 6 _ 7 X 8 X COE only: 9 _ 10 _	
					Local : Specify
Goal Applie	es to: Schools: All Applicable Pupil Subgroups:				
Expected Annual Measurabl Outcomes	the CELDT (AMAO 1) by the than one grade level as m	age of EL students making progress on 2% over prior year and/or increase more neasured by iReady post assessment. age of EL students passing CAHSEE on revious year	Actual Annual Measurabl Outcomes	2015-2016, which was a e 3B) This measurable out	scored Early Advanced/Advanced in gain of +19% from the previous year. come was no longer applicable due to the CAHSEE.
			ar: 2015-16		
	Planned Action			Actual Action	
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
	P courses for EL students to at their Core EL curriculum	ψ0,000	spent reinver which we hav Instruction). ready in Febr Coordinator r and we did no position until our EL studer	2016 academic term was ting our CP program, e renamed as ESi (ES Our ESi program was uary but our EL esigned at that same time of post that EL Coordinator June 2016. We supported that through tutoring with our intervention ors.	\$0.00
Scope of Service _ All OR: _ Low Inco X English L	School wide me pupils earners		_	School wide	

Page 30 of 36

			Page 30 01 36
_ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)		_ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
Provide Technology and MiFi for internet Access for EL students for access to intervention services.	\$15,000	MiFi internet devices and other technology support products and other were provided to EL students, as needed, to access EL and intervention services.	\$4,300
Scope of School wide		Scope of School wide Service	
All OR:Low Income pupils X English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)		All OR: Low Income pupils X English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
Provide tutoring for EL students.	\$18,528	EL students were offered one on one tutoring to help them access the Core curriculum and assist with English Language Development.	\$6,735
Scope of School wide Service		Scope of School wide Service	
All OR:Low Income pupils X English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)		All OR:Low Income pupils X English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	
Hire an in person EL tutor to work with Long Term English Language Learners.	\$16,000	The EL tutor was hired April 4th and worked with Long Term EL students.	\$4,520

Page 31 of 36

Scope of School wide Service		Scope of School wide Service	
All OR:Low Income pupils X_English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)		_ All OR: _ Low Income pupils X English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
What changes in actions, For	next year, we will have a new EL Coordin	nator that will oversee our EL program an	d work closely with the EL families and
services, and expenditures will be tead		forward to promoting our ESi program wi	th our EL families. Our current EL online

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a schoolsite in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated: \$493,930

Funds increase for 2016-2017 based on the number and calculation of unduplicated low---income, English Learner, and Foster Youth pupils: \$493,930 Note: Certified Foster Youth count is less than quantity 15, and is not currently an active subgroup for LEA. Our current percentage of enrollment of unduplicated low---income, English Learner, and Foster Youth pupils is 18.57%

Unduplicated count of low---income, English Learner and Foster Youth Pupils does not exceed 55%. The LEA used LCFF funds in combination of school--- specific and EL---targeted initiatives. The justification for the school---wide approach to increased and improved services comes from the stakeholders who by participating simultaneously in the annual update sessions and strategic planning sessions indicated that the state priorities and strategic plan goals affect all students. Further, stakeholders acknowledged: (1) current curricular and instructional support including increased and improved online and in---person classes in ELA, mathematics, sciences, and the arts, for college and career readiness needs to be expanded in scope and as a vehicle to effectively deliver CCSS and to ensure effective instruction n literacy, math and science for maintaining student progress; (2) the use of technology as a tool for learning and assessing learning needs to expand; (3) Professional development for certificated teachers and training for parents who are the primary instructors at home with students needs to increase to effectively increase students readiness for career and college after graduation; (4) Effective intervention and support programs for all struggling students' needed to increase to help close achievement gaps, including assistance to parents and teachers in monitoring these programs and assessment data to ensure student progress is understood and adequate.

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

3.34 %

The increase in proportionality for English Learners, Low Income students and Foster Youth is 3.34%

For our high need students (EL & LI), we provided additional intervention curriculum and services, tutoring, staff professional development, parent---educator training, increased access to technology for students and families, supplemental materials to meet the new standards in math, ELA and science, and increased opportunities for students to participate in classes with their peers for college and career readiness. Our stakeholders feel strongly these above services are important to continue for all students, especially in our independent study, home---school environment where the parents are the students' primary teachers at home. In order for our students to be successful, our parents need additional support from our highly qualified teachers to support and ensure adequate learning progress of all students.

Section 4: Expenditure Summary

Total Expenditures by Funding Source									
Funding Source	2015-16 Annual Update Budgeted	2015-16 Annual Update Actual	2016-17			2016-17- Total			
All Funding Sources	386,528.00	345,744.00	494,000.00	0.00	0.00	494,000.00			
	386,528.00	345,744.00	494,000.00	0.00	0.00	494,000.00			

Total Expenditures by Object Type									
Object Type	2015-16 Annual Update Budgeted	2015-16 Annual Update Actual	2016-17			2016-17- Total			
All Expenditure Types	386,528.00	345,744.00	494,000.00	0.00	0.00	494,000.00			
	386,528.00	345,744.00	494,000.00	0.00	0.00	494,000.00			

Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source								
Object Type	Funding Source	2015-16 Annual Update Budgeted	2015-16 Annual Update Actual	2016-17			2016-17- Total	
All Expenditure Types	All Funding Sources	386,528.00	345,744.00	494,000.00	0.00	0.00	494,000.00	
		386,528.00	345,744.00	494,000.00	0.00	0.00	494,000.00	

LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) % Chronic absenteeism rate+shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1. June 30) who are chronically absent where %hronic absentee+means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1. June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) Middle School dropout rate+shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.
- (c) %High school dropout rate+shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where ‰hort+is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) %High school graduation rate+shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where % hort+is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

- (e) Suspension rate+shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 . June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1. June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) % xpulsion rate+shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 . June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1. June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

01-13-15 [California Department of Education]